EnPOWER – Final Symposium

'What is known about women's inequal access to leadership opportunities in Higher Education in Vietnam?

Dr Julia Everitt, Research Fellow Birmingham City University







Background/context

- Gender Equality Law in 2006 aimed to promote equal opportunities to women in all the areas (Vietnam and gender equality, 2017).
- 79% females in Vietnam in work or looking for work but representation at the top is meagre (Preet, 2019)
- Vietnam has 357 public universities and colleges and 88 private higher education institutions (HEIs) (Huong, 2018).
- Compared to other countries, Vietnamese women are underrepresented in senior roles in prestigious organizations (Pham, 2011).
- The situation for women working in the Vietnamese higher education sector reflects national figures, with women comprising 12 per cent of Head of Department roles and 8 per cent of Deputy Head of Department roles as of 2010 (Le et al., 2010; Mate et al. 2018)).
- N. B. T. Nguyen (2000) found the underrepresentation of women leaders in a university in northern Vietnam, Dang (2012) identified the same problem in the universities in Mekong Delta in southern Vietnam.
- Although Vietnamese women and men in HE are said to be equal in all spheres of life in law, women's equality with men in reality has not yet been achieved (Tran and Nguyen, 2000)

Search Parameters

Main focus: Women Leaders in Higher Education in Vietnam

Literature type: Published and grey literature

Dates: 1995 to present date

Search process:

- Follow references from initial literature included in bid (daisy-chaining or snowballing references)
- New searches of databases (ERIC, Scopus, Australian Education Index) Google Scholar and Social Media

Results: 17 shortlisted items

| Key words and search terms | | |
|--|--|---|
| Vietnam Vietnamese South-East Asia | Leadership Women Women leaders Women researchers Female leaders Work Female employees Educational leadership Careers Middle leadership Middle level management Female academic managers | Barriers and enablers Challenges in leadership work Confucian culture |
| Academia Higher education University Vietnamese higher education Vietnamese universities | Gender Diversity Gender equality Gender inequality Gender relations Gender and women's university leadership, Vietnamese femininity and masculinity, | Women empowerment Career development Mentoring |

Key authors and focus (17 items)

| Journal Articles | Reports | Thesis |
|---|---|--|
| Tran and Nguyen (2020) Subboardinate views on perceptions of gender preference in leadership | Munro (2012) Trends in women's representation / challenges & barriers | Phuong (tbc) Lived experiences as women leaders to highlight barriers |
| Masheshwari and Nayak (2020) Barriers and enablers to women taking up leadership roles | Kelly (2011) Women in educational leadership and management | Vu (2018) What helps and hinders career advancement. |
| Hong (2018) Root causes for the lack of women in senior leadership positions | | Lam (2018) Female executives in HE leadership – styles, barriers, challenges and strategies |
| Mate et al. (2018) Narrate stories about career and leadership development and <mark>barriers and enablers</mark> | | Lazarian-Chehab (2017) The effects of informal mentoring on the leadership development of women in leadership positions. |
| Soraly and Khon (2017) Lived experiences of women faculty attaining leadership positions | | Dang (2012) The nature of the leadership development process of women in academia in the Mekong Delta (MK). |
| Truong (2014) Challenges of middle leadership <mark>(Barriers)</mark> | | Dao (2011) Factors contributing to the career advancement of four rectors |
| Nguyen, Thi Lan Huong (2013) Barriers and facilitators to academic deanship. | | Le (2011) How culture shaped the way women as well as their <mark>beliefs</mark> and perceptions about leadership. |
| | | Nguyen (2000) Gender <mark>issues</mark> in higher education in Vietnam |

Structural Factors: Methods of earlier studies

| Reports and/or Literature Review • Munro (2012) • Kelly (2011) | Reports and Surveys • Tran and Nguyen (2020) | Survey and Interviews Lam (2018), Soraly and Khan (2017), Lazarian-Chehab (2017), Troung (2014) |
|--|---|---|
| Interviews Masheshwari and Nayak (2020) Mate et al. (2018), Hong (2018), Vu (2018) Nguyen Thi Lan Huong (2013) Le (2010) Nguyen (2000) | Interviews and Observations • Dang (2012) • Dao (2011) | Photo Elicitation Interviews • Phuong (2022) |

Structural Factors: Geographical locations of earlier studies

| Region | Study authors | Participants | Universities |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------|---|
| North | Phuong (2022)Nguyen (2000) | 6 40 | 1 university 1 university |
| Central | • Tran and Nguyen (2020) | 638 (subordinates) | 5 universities |
| South | Hong (2018) Mate et al. (2018) Troung (2014) | 18 6 10 | 1 university 1 university 1 university |
| Western | Sorayaly and Khon (2017)Dang (2012) | 20 21 | 1 university 2 universities & 2 colleges |
| North & South | Masheshwari and Nayak (2020) Lazarian-Chehab (2017) Le (2011) Dao (2011) | 21 34 6 4 | 9 universities ? Universities/Colleges 1 university ? universities |
| North, South, Central, Highland | • Lam (2018) | 250 | ? universities |

Senior Roles: Three studies focused on women in senior level roles such as Deans and Rectors (Vu, 2018; Nguyen, 2013; Dao, 2011). Mid-Level: Four studies focused on midlevel women leaders.:

Lam (2018) Senior Executives (Heads and Deputy Heads)

Troung (2014) they were Mid-level Heads or Deputy Heads of functional offices, Directors or Vice-Directors of Centres, Dean or Deputy Deans of schools, or Heads or Vice-Heads of departments.

For Dang they were Deans, Vice deans, directors and deputy directors. Whilst Le (2010) investigated Department Heads and Heads of Division. First level: Mate et al. (2018) stated that they were early to mid-career lecturers and researchers. Whilst Sorayly and Khon (2017) classed the participants as women faculty.

Three studies **included women leaders from different levels** (Phuong, 2022, Masheshwari and Nayak, 2020, Hong, 2018). What is interesting here is to whether the women were actual or aspiring leaders . Dao (2011) asked the four female rectors about the themes relating to their journey but also about being a rector.

Differences in role focus from earlier studies

Barriers and Facilitators at different levels

Government / University Processes and Policies

Mentor/Role Model/Sponsor (where aware or available) (Enabler)

Community Roles and Memberships (Enabler)

Length of Employment and Service (barrier)

Recruitment / Promotion Processes (barrier)

Predecessor, Subordinate and Colleagues' View (Barrier and Enabler)

Networking Beyond Work (Barrier)

Opportunities, Networking and Skills Development (barrier and enabler)

Person and Socio-Cultural Factors

Family background & Ongoing Support (Barrier and Enabler)

Ongoing Education and Training (Barrier and Enabler)

Societal Perceptions of Women / Time Burden

Acting Like a leader

Confidence/Assertiveness/Speaking out (barrier)

Retirement Age (Barrier)

Career Plan / Path/Luck / Serendipity

'Happy Where I Am'

Strategies for navigating the river

Women recommended **strategies** to facilitate their career mobility, there was a **lack of consensus** in the suggestions given (Dang, 2012).

Lack of consensus amongst the men and women as to the most constraining or enabling factors or how to **overcome the barriers** (Kelly, 2011).

Each female Rector had their own **set of practices** influenced by their individual circumstances. Women **did not dwell on the issues** as they recognised that the barriers emanated from the organisations and not themselves (Dao, 2011)

Most female managers maybe face 'slippery ladder" than a "glass ceiling" because **they by themselves skip the advancement opportunities**

Empowerment supports positive changes as a means to leadership opportunities, rather than the end itself. This is about the institutions and the support they offer, but also about the women's **intrinsic factors, abilities and efforts** to take on the information, support or opportunities on offer (Lam, 2018).

Each woman leader had a **different strategy.** There is not one static single challenge (glass ceiling, sticky floor) or series of static challenges (labyrinth) but **changeable challenges** that can shape the journey differently for female academic leaders like a river. The river offers challenges but also opportunities (Phuong, 2022)

Thanks for listening.

Any questions or comments?

julia.everitt@bcu.ac.uk @juliaeverittdr