

Expert Evidence in Practice



Expert witness for prosecution

- Prosecution seizes equipment and maintains relevant information about its owner, state (powered-on or off), location, etc.
- Each equipment is bagged, tagged and identifiable
 - Forensic disk image is made for analysis
 - Original device is repacked for archiving
- Expert witness has access to copies of devices



Expert witness for prosecution

- In contact with the primary investigator, need to know
 - Why raid took place
 - Overview of computer and devices where data is stored
 - Idea of the technical capability of the suspects
 - Keyword searches based on the user skills
- Part of the investigation team
 - See things differently than a police officer
 - They advise the police officer



Expert witness for Defence

- Things are different
 - Only come to know when contacted by a defence lawyer
 - Have initial information
 - Suitable financial arrangements need to be agreed with the client
 - Major focus is on testing of the evidence



Expert witness for Defence

- Expert work has to be on the basis of the court proceeding
 - Presentation and cross examined
 - Explain the strengths and weaknesses of the prosecution evidence
 - Statistically, most often prosecutions have strong cases.
 - If evidence is overwhelming then you may advise the defendant to plead guilty
 - Jurists may not know much about computers
 - They need to clearly see what they can agree on



Illustrative real case studies in practice



Case 1: Illegal sexual images

- Prosecution found indecent sexual images of children on someone's computer.
- Upon careful examinations and correlations of relevant evidence, such as pictures, logs and emails, defence forensic expert was able to show that
 - Pictures were not knowingly downloaded from a particular adult website.
- Case collapsed.



Expert advice

- It is essential to confine yourself to the scope of your area of expertise and not to cross its boundaries.
- After examining someone's computer, a Digital Forensics Expert may make statements about hidden indecent sexual pictures of children found on its hard disk but should not make statements relating to whether somebody is a paedophile because this is outside the expert's domain.
- In some countries, however, an expert has to be specifically <u>registered as an expert</u> by an independent body before they can give evidence.



Case 2: Images of terrorists

- Prosecution found images of well known terrorists on someone's computer.
- Upon careful examinations and correlations of relevant evidence, such as images, logs, cache and browsing histories, defence forensic expert was able to show that
 - Pictures were not deliberately downloaded from specific terrorist websites.
- Case collapsed.



Case 3: Time stamps

- Using different forensic tools, prosecution and defence obtained contradicting versions of the timeline of events involving mobile evidence.
- Upon careful examinations, both parties agree that the evidence could not be relied upon.
- Prosecution won the case but on the basis of other evidence.



Case 4: Print spooler

- Accused: is a minority police officer who had a troubled relationship with some of his colleagues
- Prosecution charge: accused sent a racist document to himself to implicate his colleagues of racist behaviours
- Evidence: Printer spool file & its size
- Defence: computer forensics expert was able to show that the size of the printer spool file did not relate to the document sent
- Outcome: prosecution case collapsed. This had big political implications at the time.





Thank you!